SECTION 009113 – ADDENDA ONE

1.1 PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Name: <UMF Merrill Hall Façade Restoration [Windows]>.

B. Owner: <UMaine System | UMaine Farmington>.

C. Owner Project Number: <N/A>.


F. Date of Addendum: <Feb 3, 2023>.

1.2 NOTICE TO BIDDERS

A. This Addendum is issued [to all registered plan holders,] pursuant to the [Instructions to Bidders] [and] [Conditions of the Contract]. This Addendum serves to clarify, revise, and supersede information in the Project Manual, Drawings, and previously issued Addenda. Portions of the Addendum affecting the Contract Documents will be incorporated into the Contract by enumeration of the Addendum in the Owner/Contractor Agreement.

B. The Bidder shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the appropriate space on the Bid Form.

C. The date for receipt of bids is unchanged by this Addendum, at same time and location.

1.3 ATTACHMENTS

A. This Addendum Does NOT include attachments.

B. This Addendum includes the following attached Documents and Specification Sections: NONE IN THIS ADDENDA

C. This Addendum includes the following Sketches:
   1. Window Details – Sketches -SK – Addenda 1,
      a. See contractor Questions – extent of window trim removal

D. This Addendum includes the attached Addendum Drawings: NONE IN THIS ADDENDA
1.4 REVISIONS TO PREVIOUS ADDENDA: NONE IN THIS ADDENDA

1.5 RESPONSE | CLARIFICATIONS TO CONTRACTOR QUESTIONS

A. Questions are restated as presented, A/E of record response is based on interpretation, if the interpretation is in error, please provide / respond with modified / refined question for the A/E of records use / response.

B. Questions by Contractors with Response by A/E of Record:

1. **Question:** Section 10.3.2 provides that the Owner is responsible for the remediation of any hazardous materials that may be discovered during construction. However, the provision allowing the Contractor additional time and money for the delay caused by such remediation has been deleted. Please confirm that the Contractor will in fact be entitled to an equitable adjustment of both Contract Time and Contract Sum if construction is delayed due to Owner remediation of hazardous materials
   a. **Response:** Confirmed.
   b. **Response:** Clarification by A/E of Rec; Work by Owners Contractor, HAZ Mat is to remove all Windows per elevation, prior to construction / install of replacement windows. Contractor to provide temporary weather tight plywood enclosure per elevation, pending install of replacement windows. Contractor to coordinate schedule with Owner to reduce, waste and the duration of the plywood enclosures use per elevation.
   Contractor to include within base bid and each alternate bid/price; labor materials to install temporary weather tight plywood enclosures.
   Contractor to reuse in so far as practical plywood enclosures for the locations / elevations of the building.

2. **Question:** Please provide clarification of the extent of interior window trim demolition and new window trim installation.
   a. **Response:** See Revised Detail Below

3. **Question:** Please provide details showing new window trim both interior and exterior.
   a. **Response:** Intent is to match existing profile for the exterior and interior. Interior trim profile anticipated to be replaced is shown within the detail.

4. **Question:** In the drawings on page BE-A2010 the last bullet point in the note’s states that both Mathews Brothers windows and Hope Windows are acceptable manufacturers. Mathews Brothers only builds PVC windows and Hope Windows only builds aluminum custom style windows making them non-comparable both in price and installation. The spec section calls for PVC windows, please clarify which style and manufacturer is accepted.
   a. **Response:** As this project seeks to successfully replace, match the existing, in so far as practical, windows, the Owner understands cost, materials, etc. differ, but finds both are acceptable and or in any combination together. Define within your bid.

5. **Question:** We have called both Mathews Brothers and Hope Windows, both have stated they do not construct curved glass windows like what is in the round turret portions of the building. Please clarify that non-curved glass is acceptable in this project.
   a. **Response:** Both have previously stated they can create curved windows, with limitations, please review those limitations with the manufacturer.
6. **Question:** Project completion will largely depend on the lead time for windows. Please confirm schedule completion date can be adjusted should the window fabrication be more than 8 weeks.
   a. **Response:** Confirmed

7. **Question:** Please advise how much time the A/E is permitted for review of submittals.
   a. **Response:** 10 days initial review

8. **Question:** Would it be possible to obtain a pdf copy of the pre-bid meeting attendance sheet for the subject solicitation?
   a. **Response:**

   - UOwner’s reps: Richard Beaulieu
   - UMF Facilities Director: Keenan Farwell
   - Architect: Daniel Moreno
   - Morgan Call, Project Manager PC Construction, Special Projects Group, 131 Presumpscot St. Portland, Maine, C+ 802-338-7173, em+mcall@pcconstruction.com

9. Question: Please provide clarification on how the rating system works for both the type of window and the glazing as shown on the window schedule.
   a. **Response:** The interpretation of this question appears to request the rationale for the labeling of the windows? LeMessurier, aligned the label format created within the existing windows shop drawings schedule, by Duratherm, dated 09/27/1983, to the windows location per elevation and floor

10. **Question:** Is it possible to get Mathew’s Brothers and Ware-Butler on site to look at and measure the existing windows and opening to check if they can produce windows that will fit those openings
   a. **Response:** Yes, Any Contractor, supplier can have access to the site, notify, A/E of record, and UM rep to schedule a visit.

11. **Question:** Please advise if the attached window sketches done by Mathew’s Brothers will be acceptable replacement
   a. **Response:** the sketch was not clear in its communication of design intent in matching Existing. Resubmit. Provide a narrative, details, sections. Not approved.

12. **Question:** Detail 1/BE-A2010 Shows the interior wood sill to be removed but the trim above it to remain. Please advise if the sill is to be cut flush to the trim that rests on top of it.
   a. **Response:** See Revised Sketch – attached

13. **Question:** Detail 1/BE-A2010 Shows a hatched area between the masonry and window. Please advise what the material is.
   a. **Response:** Original shop drawings are unclear, but new windows are to “work” within the same nominal dimension

14. **Question:** Please provide a fastening detail for the windows and if substrate is wood blocking or masonry
   a. **Response:** Referencing Shop drawings, Windows appear to be installed wood blocking and or wood substrate subframe. Anchoring to be per manufacturers requirements.

15. **Question:** Please provide a jamb extension detail for the windows or if each window is to be custom built.
   a. **Response:** Basis of bid, assume all jamb similar.

16. **Question:** Please confirm that Detail 3&4/BE-A2010 have the extents reversed for the interior/exterior trim/finish removal and to remain.
   a. **Response:** Confirmed - See Revised Sketch – attached
17. **Question:** Please advise what is meant by the following note on BE-A2010” Maintenance | Cleaning of windows to be accessed from the interior.”
   a. **Response:** The original design intent for the operable windows is to be able to clean the exterior of the window from the interior.

18. **Question:** Please confirm Matthew Brothers or Hope Windows are the only permissible window suppliers.
   a. **Response:** Mathew Brothers and or Hope Windows are basis of design, others are permitted.

19. **Question:** Please advise if elevator is available for use by contractor and weight limitations.
   a. **Response:** Elevator may be used – subject to Owner and or contractor providing heavy duty wall protection. Field Verify size and weight limitation.

20. **Question:** Please confirm if entire building is classified as historic space.
   a. **Response:** information provided is Building Has only Local Historical reference.

21. **Question:** Please provide flashing detail.
   a. **Response:** Basis of Bid – based on shop drawings for installation

22. **Question:** Please provide a list of any items that are to be salvaged
   a. **Response:** None known – Subject to change based on acceptance of alternates. Replaced windows /sashes may support repairs to existing windows in alternates not chosen. See HAZ MAT comments.

23. **Question:** Please confirm Storage and Handling of Historic Materials is by others
   a. **Response:** See Response to question #22

24. **Question:** Please confirm the historic treatment specialist will be contracted thru the owner
   a. **Response:** Confirmed Owner and or A/E of rec will address duties of specialist

25. **Question:** Please provide trim detail and desired material
   a. **Response:** Unclear if question is for exterior or interior? Match existing. Basis of design manufacturers, affords materials to be either vinyl or metal

26. **Question:** Please provide backer rod and sealant Detail
   a. **Response:** Manufacturers requirements for unit size to rough opening, installation, will require sealant and or rod. Provide and install as required by manufacturer.

27. **Question:**
   a. **Response:**

---

C. Clarifications by Architect of Record
1. **Clarification:** See SKETCH Below - this Document – revision to Detail D3 Drawing BEA2010
1.6 REVISIONS TO DRAWING SHEETS

A. Sheet <Insert number> - <Insert title> (not reissued).

1. Drawing <Insert number>: <Insert explanatory text>.

END OF DOCUMENT 009113